Power Control Factor Selection in Uplink OFDMA Cellular Networks

Suman Kumar and K. Giridhar
Dept. of Electrical Engineering
Indian Institute of Technology Madras
Chennai, 600036, India
Email: {suman, giri}@tenet.res.in

arXiv:1401.4657v2 [cs.IT] 3 Feb 2014

***Abstract*—Uplink power control plays a key role on the performance of uplink cellular network. In this work, the power control factor[1]($\in [0,1]$) is evaluated based on three parameters namely: average transmit power, coverage probability and average rate. In other words, we evaluate power control factor such that average transmit power should be low, coverage probability of cell-edge users should be high and also average rate over all the uplink users should be high. We show through numerical studies that the power control factor should be close to 0.5 in order to achieve an acceptable trade-off between these three parameters.**

I. Introduction

Power control is an important consideration for the uplink cellular networks. It has two modes of operation: closed loop and open loop power control [1]. In closed loop power control, the base station (BS) compare the received Signal-to-noise-plus-Interference-ratio (SINR) to the desired target SINR. If the received SINR is lesser than the desired target SINR a transmit power control command is transmitted to the mobile station (MS) to increases the transmit power. Otherwise, transmit power control command is transmitted to decrease the transmit power. On the other hand in open loop power control power there is no feedback path. In this work, an open loop power control is considered.

Power control factor ($\in [0,1]$) controls the power transmitted by MS. A lower power control factor allows the cell-centre users (users close to BS) to transmit higher power which result higher rate but it also provides higher interference power for the cell-edge users (users far from BS). On the other hand, a higher power control factor allows the cell-centre users to transmit lower power which result lower rate but it provides lower interference power for the cell-edge users. Therefore, how should a cellular network operator should choose the power control factor. This is the question we attempt to answer in this work.

The difference between the performance of pure open loop power control and combined open loop and closed loop power control has been studied in [2], [3]. It has been shown in [4] that the fractional path loss compensation factor with closed loop power control can greatly improve the system performance. The impact of fractional power control on the SINR and interference distribution has been studied in [5] and also a sub-optimal configuration is proposed for the fractional power control. It has been shown in [6] that fractional path loss compensation is advantageous than the full path loss compensation in terms of cell-edge capacity and also battery life time. A modified fractional power control utilizing the path loss difference between serving cell and strongest interfering cell is proposed in [7] to improve the cell-edge bitrate and overall spectral efficiency. Recently, authors of [8], [9] proposed an analytical approach to this problem and they have provided the insight for choosing the fractional power control. However, although insightful design guidelines are provided, they have not provided the specific parameter value which is at the end, the interest of cellular operator.

In this work, we evaluate the power control factor based on the three parameters namely: average transmit power, coverage probability and average rate. We show that the power control factor should be close to 0.5. Since at power control factor $= 0.5$, average transmit power is low, coverage probability of cell-edge users are moderate and also the average rate is moderate.



Fig. 1: Hexagonal structure of 2-tier macrocell. Interference for 0th cell in FR1 system is contributed form all the neighbouring 18 cells, while in a FR3 system it is contributed only from the shaded cells.

[1]Power control factor ($\in [0,1]$) controls the power transmitted by mobile stations

II. System Model

We consider the uplink macrocell network having hexagonal shape with inter cell site distance $2R$ as shown in Fig. 1. The user is assumed to be uniformly distributed. A path loss model $l(x) = \|x\|^{-\alpha}$ is considered, where $\alpha \geq 2$ is path loss exponent. Similar to [9], it is assumed that all the MS uses distance-proportion fractional power control factor of the form $d^{\alpha\epsilon}$, where $\epsilon \in [0, 1]$ denotes the power control factor. The parameter ϵ controls the transmit power. $\epsilon = 1$ corresponds to an equal received power from all the MS, and at the other end, $\epsilon = 0$ corresponds to an equal transmit power. The received SINR η at the tagged BS which is located at origin from the nearest MS is at the distance r is

$$\eta(r) = \frac{g r^{\alpha(\epsilon-1)}}{\sigma^2 + I}, \text{ where } I = \sum_{i \in \phi} h_i d_i^{-\alpha} r_i^{\alpha\epsilon}. \quad (1)$$

The distance between the MS to its serving BS (BS at the origin) is denoted by r, and the distances between interfering MSs to their respective serving BSs are denoted by r_i. The distance between an interfering MS to the serving BS at the origin is denoted by d_i. We denote the set of interfering MSs by Φ. Channel fading gain from tagged BS and i^{th} interfering MS are denoted by g and h_i, respectively, which are independent and identically exponentially distributed with unit mean (corresponding to Rayleigh fading).

III. Selection of Power Control Factor

In this section, we evaluate power control factor based on the three parameters namely: average transmit power, coverage probability, and average rate. The average normalized transmit power used by the MS is given by

$$P_{\text{avg}} = \int_0^\infty P_t(r) f_R(r) \mathrm{d}r, \quad (2)$$

where $P_t(r)$ is the transmit power of MS at distance r from the BS, and $f_R(r)$ is the probability that MS is at distance r from the BS. The probability density function (PDF) of r (and also r_i), i.e., $f_R(r)$ is given by,

$$f_R(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{2r}{R^2}, r \leqslant R \\ 0, r > R. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Coverage probability is the probability that the measured SINR at the BS of the MS is greater than the target SINR T. It is defined as

$$P_c(T) = \mathbb{P}[\text{SINR} > T]. \quad (4)$$

The average rate is calculated based upon the Shannon capacity limit, $R = \mathbb{E}[\ln(1 + \eta(r))]$. We start the discussion with the average normalized uplink transmit power by the MS. Fig. 2 shows the variation in the average normalized power transmitted by the MS versus power control factor using the expression given in (2). In can be seen that at $\epsilon = 0$, all the MS will transmit with equal power and hence the average normalized transmitted power is 1. On the other hand, for $\epsilon = 0$, the received power at the BS will be equal and hence the average power transmitted by MS is lowest and it is 0.34.

TABLE I: Simulation Parameters

Parameters	**Values**
Carrier Frequency	2 GHz
Bandwidth	10 MHz
Number of Sub-carriers	600
Number of PRBs	50
Number of Sub-carriers per PRB	12
Total number of users in one macrocell	34
Number of Interferer cell	18
Macrocell radius (R)	500m
P_{max}^{macro}	43 dBm
Noise power	-174 dBm/Hz



Fig. 2: Variation in average power used in the MS with uplink power control factor.

One important observation can be made is as follows: as ϵ increases, the rate of decreasing average transmitted power decreases. For example, as ϵ goes from 0 to 0.1, average power decreases by 16% but at the other extreme, as ϵ goes from 0.9 to 1, average power decreases by only 6%.

Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 shows the coverage probability for frequency reuse 1 (FR1) and frequency reuse $\frac{1}{3}$ (FR3) with respect to distance from the BS using the simulation parameters given in Table 1. The coverage probability is plotted for five different values of power control factor. It is interesting to note that as power control factor increases coverage probabil-

ity of the cell-centre users decreases whereas the coverage probability of the cell-edge users increases. It can be also observed that when power control factor increases from 0 to 0.5, the coverage probability of cell-centre users does not decrease significantly whereas the coverage probability of cell-edge users increase significantly for both the reuse system.



Fig. 3: Coverage probability of FR1 with respect to distance from the BS for different values of power control factor. Here $\alpha = 3$ and $T = 0$dB is assumed.

Fig. 5 plots the average rate for the FR1 and FR3 with respect to power control factor for different path loss exponents. Firstly, it can be observed that as power control factor increases average rate decreases for both the reuse systems and both the path loss exponents. Secondly, it can be observed that as power control factor increase from 0 to 0.25 average rate does not decrease significantly (especially for FR3 system).

By observing Fig. 2, 3, 4 and 5 and behaviour of these plots as discussed before, one can conclude that at power control factor $= 0.5$, the average transmitted power is decreased by 2, the coverage probability of cell-edge users increases significantly and also the average rate does not decrease significantly and hence the power control factor should be chosen close to 0.5.

We have an another way to evaluate the power control factor. We introduce a cost function J which take care of all three parameter: average rate, edge coverage probability and average transmitted power and is given by

$$J = a(\text{average rate}) + b(\text{edge coverge probability}) + c(\text{average transmitted power}).$$

Here $a > 0$, $b > 0$ and $c < 0$ are weight parameters corresponding to average rate, edge coverage probability and



Fig. 4: Coverage probability of FR3 with respect to distance from the BS for different values of power control factor. Here $\alpha = 3$ and $T = 0$dB is assumed.

average transmitted power, respectively. Now, we need to maximize this to evaluate the power control factor. Fig. 6 plots the cost function J versus power control factor for three different sets of weight parameter. it can be observe that cost function is maximum at $\epsilon = 0.5$. Although, for some sets of parameter cost function will not be maximum at $\epsilon = 0.5$, most of the acceptable sets of parameter cost function will be maximum at $\epsilon = 0.5$.

IV. Conclusion

In this paper, we have evaluated the uplink power control factor such that average transmit power should be low, coverage probability of cell-edge users should be high and also average rate should be high. It turns out that power control factor should be close to 0.5. The natural extension of this work could be to evaluate the uplink power control factor in presence of inter-cell interference coordination scheme, i.e. fractional frequency reuse [10] and soft frequency reuse [11].

References

[1] Ericsson, "R1-074850: Uplink Power Control for E-UTRA- Range and representation of P0 ," *3GPP TSG RAN WGI Meeting #51*, Nov. 2007.

[2] R. Müllner, C. F. Ball, K. Ivanov, J. Lienhart, and P. Hric, "Contrasting Open-Loop and Closed-Loop Power Control Performance in UTRAN LTE Uplink by UE Trace Analysis," in *IEEE International Conference on Communications, 2009. ICC '09.*, 2009, pp. 1–6.

[3] ——, "Performance Comparison Between Open-loop and Closed-loop Uplink Power Control in UTRAN LTE Networks," in *Proceedings of the 2009 International Conference on Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing: Connecting the World Wirelessly*. ACM, 2009, pp. 1410–1416.

[4] B. Muhammad and A. Mohammed, "Performance Evaluation of Uplink Closed Loop Power Control for LTE System," in *IEEE 70th Vehicular Technology Conference Fall (VTC 2009-Fall), 2009*, 2009, pp. 1–5.



Fig. 5: Variation in average rate with respect to power control factor for different reuse schemes and for different path loss exponent.



Fig. 6

[5] C. Castellanos, D. Villa, C. Rosa, K. Pedersen, F. Calabrese, P.-H. Michaelsen, and J. Michel, "Performance of Uplink Fractional Power Control in UTRAN LTE," in *IEEE Vehicular Technology Conference, 2008. VTC Spring 2008.*, 2008, pp. 2517–2521.

[6] A. Simonsson and A. Furuskar, "Uplink Power Control in LTE - Overview and Performance, Subtitle: Principles and Benefits of Utilizing rather than Compensating for SINR Variations," in *IEEE 68th Vehicular Technology Conference, 2008. VTC 2008-Fall.*, 2008, pp. 1–5.

[7] A. Rao, "Reverse Link Power Control for Managing Inter-Cell Interference in Orthogonal Multiple Access Systems," in *IEEE 66th Vehicular Technology Conference, 2007. VTC-2007 Fall. 2007*, 2007, pp. 1837–1841.

[8] M. Coupechoux and J.-M. Kelif, "How to Set the Fractional Power Control Compensation Factor in LTE ?" in *34th IEEE Sarnoff Symposium, 2011*, 2011, pp. 1–5.

[9] T. Novlan, H. Dhillon, and J. Andrews, "Analytical Modeling of Uplink Cellular Networks," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications,*, vol. 12, no. 6, pp. 2669–2679, 2013.

[10] S. Kumar, S. Kalyani, and K. Giridhar, "Optimal Thresholds for Coverage and Rate in FFR Schemes for Planned Cellular Networks," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1401.4662*, 2014.

[11] S. Kumar and K. Giridhar, "Analytical Derivation of Reuse Pattern for Soft Frequency Reuse Based Femtocell Deployment," in *15th International Symposium on Wireless Personal Multimedia Communications (WPMC) 2012*, 2012, pp. 569–573.